

REMARKS

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to the rejection raised in the Office action, and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Further, the Applicants do not acquiesce to any portion of the Office Action not particularly addressed. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

In the Office action, the Office noted that claims 1-14 are pending and rejected. Applicants amend claims 1 and 8 and previously canceled claims 15-21. In view of the following discussion, the Applicants submit that none of the claims now pending in the application are obvious under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 103. Thus, Applicants believe that all of these claims are now in condition for allowance.

REJECTION

The Office rejected claims 1-5 and 8-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,442,527 issued to Worthington (hereon after “Worthington”) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0154116 published to Lofton (hereon after “Lofton”). The Office also rejected claims 6-7, 13-14 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,442,527 issued to Worthington (hereon after “Worthington”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,442,527 issued to Lofton (hereon after “Lofton”), in further view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0078752 published to Johnson Jr. (hereon after “Johnson”). The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections.

Applicants amend claim 1 to recite “create a time management entry in a time management application; b. attach a link to the time management entry; and display the link on a display of the hand-held computer device calculator, wherein an update through the display relating to the link auto automatically updates the entry.”

Independent claim 8 recites similar features. Applicants submit that *Worthington* and *Lofton*, alone and in combination, are devoid from disclosing “attach a link to the time management entry; and display the link on a display of the hand-held computer device calculator, wherein an update through the display relating to the link auto automatically updates the entry.” Therefore, Applicants submit that *Worthington* and *Lofton*, alone and in combination, do not deem claims 1, 8 and all their dependent

claims obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Applicant's believe that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been provided

Applicants note that the Office cited *Worthington* and *Lofton* for the proposition that it teaches all of the elements of independent claims 1 and 8, from which the dependent claims 6-7 and 13-14 ultimately depend. The Applicants also note that the Office only cited *Johnson* with respect to the subject matter claimed in the dependent claims 6-7 and 13-14.

Given that each of the dependent claims 2-7 and 9-14 depend, directly or indirectly, from either independent amended claim 1 or 8, each necessarily includes all the elements of their respective independent claim. Since *Worthington* and *Lofton*, alone and in combination, do not teach all the elements of the independent claims 1 and 8 and since the Office only cited *Johnson* with respect to the subject matter claimed in the dependent claims 6-7 and 13-14, the Applicants, therefore, submit that *Worthington*, *Lofton* and *Johnson*, alone and in combination, do not teach all the elements or render claims 1 and 8 obvious. Thus, the Applicants further submit that *Worthington*, *Lofton* and *Johnson*, alone and in combination, do not render each of the dependent claims 2-7 and 9-14, depending from either claim 1 or 8, obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-14.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, the Applicants submit that none of the claims presently in the application are obvious under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §103. Consequently, the Applicants believe that all these claims are presently in condition for allowance. Accordingly, both reconsideration of this application and its swift passage to issue are earnestly solicited.

If, however, the Office believes that any unresolved issues still exist or if, in the opinion of the Office, a telephone conference would expedite passing the present application to issue, the Office is invited to call the undersigned attorney directly at 972-917-4365 or the office of the undersigned attorney at 972-917-5352 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 23, 2010

By: /MIRNA ABYAD/
MIRNA ABYAD
Registration No. 58,615
Texas Instruments
P.O. Box 655474, M/S 3999
Dallas, TX 75265
Telephone: (972) 917-4365